


**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH  
 TECHNOLOGY**
**ON THE WEAK SOLUTION OF COMPOUND LAPLACE BESSEL EQUATION**
**Sudprathai Bupasiri \***

\* Department of Mathematics, Sakon Nakhon Rajabhat University, Thailand 47000

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1247069

**ABSTRACT**

In this paper, we have studied the compound Laplace Bessel equation of

$$\sum_{r=0}^m c_r \Delta_B^r u(x) = f(x)$$

where  $\Delta_B^r$  is the Laplace – Bessel operator iterated  $r$ -times,  $f(x)$  is a given generalized function,  $u(x)$  is an unknown function,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$  and  $c_r$  is a constant. In this work, we study the weak solution  $u(x)$  of above the equation.

**KEYWORDS:** Weak solution, Compound Laplace Bessel equation, Tempered distribution.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

 Sarikaya and Yildirim [3] have shown that the  $n$ -dimensional classical Laplace-Bessel equation have

 $u(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x)$  is an elementary solution of the equation  $\Delta_B^k u(x) = \delta$ . Later, Kananthai and

Nonlaopon [2] have studied the weak solution of the compound ultra-hyperbolic equation. Sarikaya and

Yildirim [5] have studied the weak solution of the compound Bessel ultra-hyperbolic equation.

Moreover, Bupasiri and Nonlaopon [6] have studied the weak solution of compound equations related to the ultra-hyperbolic operators. In this article, we will consider the Laplace-Bessel operator iterated

 $k$ -time with  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ = \{x : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}$ 

$$\Delta_B^k = \left( \sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^k, \quad p+q=n \quad (1.1)$$

 $B_{x_i} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2\nu_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  where  $2\nu_i = 2\alpha_i + 1$ ,  $2\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x_i > 0$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots,n$ ,  $k$  is nonnegative integer and  $n$ 

 is dimension of  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$ . Consider the equation

$$\Delta_B^k u(x) = f(x) \quad (1.2)$$

where  $u(x)$  and  $f(x)$  are some generalized functions. We will develop the equation (1.2) to the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^m c_r \Delta_B^r u(x) = f(x) \tag{1.3}$$

which is called the compound Laplace Bessel and by convention  $\Delta_B^0 u(x) = u(x)$ . In finding the solutions of (1.3), we use the properties of convolutions for the generalized functions.

## II. PRELIMIMARIES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a point of the  $n$  - dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}_n^+$

$$V = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + x_{p+2}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2 \tag{2.1}$$

where  $p+q=n$ . For any complex number  $\alpha$ , defined the function

$$R_\alpha^e(x) = \frac{V^{\frac{\alpha-n-2/v}{2}}}{w_n(\alpha)} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$w_n(\alpha) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n 2^{v_i - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(v_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^{n+2/v-2\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2/v-\alpha}{2}\right)}, \quad |v| = v_1 + \dots + v_n. \tag{2.3}$$

The function  $R_\alpha^e(x)$  is call the *elliptic kernel of Marcel Riesz* and an ordinary function if  $\text{Re } \alpha \geq n$  and is a distribution of  $\alpha$  if  $\text{Re } \alpha < n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  is a homogeneous distribution of order  $(2k - n - 2/v)$ . In particular, it is a tempered distribution.

*Proof.* We need to show that  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  satisfies the Euler equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} R_{2k}^e(x) = (2k - n - 2/v) R_{2k}^e(x).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} R_{2k}^e(x) &= \frac{1}{w_n(2k)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2 \right)^{\frac{2k-n-2/v}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n(2k)} (2k - n) \left( x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2 \right)^{\frac{2k-n-2/v}{2} - 1} \\ &\quad \times \left( x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n(2k)} (2k - n) \left( x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2 \right)^{\frac{2k-n-2/v}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(2k-n-2/v)V^{2k-n-2/v}}{w_n(2k)}$$

$$= (2k-n-2/v)R_{2k}^e(x).$$

Hence  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  is a homogeneous distribution of order  $(2k-n-2/v)$ . Donoghue [7]

prove that every homogeneous distribution is a tempered distribution. So  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  is a tempered distribution. This is complete of proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Given the equations*

$$\Delta_B^k u(x) = \delta(x) \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\Delta_B^k$  is defined by (1.1),  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$  and  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac-delta distribution, then we obtain

$u(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x)$  as an elementary solution of (2.4), where  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  is defined by (2.2) with  $\alpha = 2k$ .

*Proof.* See [3].

**Lemma 2.3.** *(The convolutions of tempered distributions)*

(a)  $(\Delta_B^k \delta) * u(x) = \Delta_B^k u(x)$  where  $u(x)$  is any tempered distribution.

(b) Let  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  be defined by (2.2), then  $R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x)$  exists and is a tempered distribution.

(c) Let  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  be defined by (2.2), then  $R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) = R_{2k+2m}^e(x)$  where  $k$  and  $m$  are nonnegative integer.

(d) Let  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  be defined by (2.2) and if  $R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) = \delta$  then  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  is an inverse of  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  in the convolution algebra, denoted by  $R_{2k}^e(x) = R_{2m}^{e*-1}(x)$ .

*Proof.* (a) First, we consider the case  $k=1$ , now

$$\Delta_B \delta(x) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2 \delta(x)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial \delta(x)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2 \delta(x)}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{2v_j}{x_j} \frac{\partial \delta(x)}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad p+q=n$$

and let  $\varphi(x)$  be a testing function in the Schwarts space  $S$ . By the definition odd  $B$ -convolution, we have

$$\langle \Delta_B \delta(x) * u(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle u(x), \langle \Delta_B \delta(x), \varphi(x+y) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\langle u(x), \left\langle \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2 \delta(y)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial \delta(y)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2 \delta(y)}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{2v_j}{x_j} \frac{\partial \delta(y)}{\partial x_j} \right), \varphi(x+y) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle u(x), \left\langle \delta(y), \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x+y)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi(x+y)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x+y)}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{2v_j}{x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi(x+y)}{\partial x_j} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle u(x), \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x)}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{2v_j}{x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_j} \right) \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{2v_j}{x_j} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j} \right), \varphi(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \langle \Delta_B u(x), \varphi(x) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\Delta_B \delta(x) * u(x) = \Delta_B u(x).$$

Similarly for any  $k$ , we can show that

$$\Delta_B^k \delta(x) * u(x) = \Delta_B^k u(x).$$

(b) By Lemma 2.1, thus  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  are tempered distribution and  $R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x)$  exists and is a tempered distribution by [4].

(c) From equation  $\Delta_B^{k+m} u(x) = \delta(x)$  we obtain  $u(x) = (-1)^{k+m} R_{2k+2m}^e(x)$  by Lemma 2.2. For any  $m$  is a nonnegative integer, we write

$$\Delta_B^{k+m} u(x) = \Delta_B^k \Delta_B^m u(x) = \delta(x)$$

then by Lemma 2.2 we have the following equality

$$\Delta_B^m u(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x).$$

Convolving both sides by  $(-1)^m R_{2m}^e(x)$  we obtain

$$(-1)^m R_{2m}^e(x) * \Delta_B^m u(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * (-1)^m R_{2m}^e(x)$$

or

$$\Delta_B^m \left( (-1)^m R_{2m}^e(x) \right) * u(x) = (-1)^{k+m} R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x).$$

Then from Lemma 2.2 we have the following equality

$$\delta(x) * u(x) = (-1)^{k+m} R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x).$$

It follows that

$$u(x) = (-1)^{k+m} R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x).$$

From the fact that  $u(x) = (-1)^{k+m} R_{2k+2m}^e(x)$  we obtain  $R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) = R_{2k+2m}^e(x)$ .

(d) Since  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  are tempered distributions with compact supports,

thus  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  are the elements of space of convolution algebra  $u'$  of distribution. Now

$R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) = \delta(x)$  then by Zemanian [1] show that  $R_{2k}^e(x) = R_{2m}^{e*-1}(x)$  is an inverse.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $R_{2k}^e(x)$  and  $w_n(2k)$ , be defined by (2.2) and (2.3). Then

$$(a) \quad w_n(2k+2) = 8k(n+2/v/-2k-2)w_n(2k).$$

$$(b) \quad \Delta_B^k R_{2m}^e(x) = (-1)^k R_{2m-2k}^e(x), \text{ where } k \text{ and } m \text{ are nonnegative integer.}$$

$$(c) \quad R_{-2k}^e(x) = (-1)^k \Delta_B^k \delta(x), \text{ where } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer.}$$

*Proof.* (a) From (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(2k+2) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n 2^{v_i - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(v_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(k+1)}{2^{n+2/v/-4k-4} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2/v/-2k-2}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{8k(n+2/v/-2k-2) \prod_{i=1}^n 2^{v_i - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(v_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(k)}{2^{n+2/v/-4k} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2/v/-2k-2}{2}\right)} \\ &= 8k(n+2/v/-2k-2)w_n(2k). \end{aligned}$$

(b) By Lemma 2.3(c), we have

$$\delta * R_{2m}^e(x) = R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m-2k}^e(x)$$

$$\Delta_B^k (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) = R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m-2k}^e(x)$$

$$(-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * \Delta_B^k R_{2m}^e(x) = R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2m-2k}^e(x)$$

and

$$\Delta_B^k R_{2m}^e(x) = (-1)^k R_{2m-2k}^e(x).$$

(c) For  $m=k$  by Lemma 2.4(b) we have

$$\Delta_B^k R_{2m}^e(x) = (-1)^k R_0^e(x), \quad R_0^e = \delta.$$

For  $m=0$ , by Lemma 2.4(b) we have  $\Delta_B^k R_0^e(x) = (-1)^k R_{-2k}^e(x)$  or  $(-1)^k \Delta_B^k \delta = R_{-2k}^e(x)$ .

### III. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** Given the compound Laplace – Bessel equation

$$\sum_{r=0}^m C_r \Delta_B^r u(x) = f(x) \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\Delta_B^r$  is the Laplace – Bessel operator iterated  $k$ -times defined by (1.1),  $f(x)$  is a given generalized function,  $u(x)$  is an unknown function,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$  and  $C_r$  is a constant.

Then (3.1) has a weak solution

$$u(x) = f(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) * \left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$w(x) = (-1)^{m-1} C_{m-1} + (-1)^{m-2} C_{m-2} \frac{V}{8(n+2/v-4)} + (-1)^{m-3} C_{m-3} \frac{V^2}{8 \cdot 16(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6)} \\ \dots + C_0 \frac{V^{m-1}}{8 \cdot 16 \cdot 24 \dots 8(m-1)(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6) \dots (n+2/v-2m)} \tag{3.3}$$

and  $V$  defined by (2.1) and  $\left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}$  is an inverse of

$$(-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3(a), equation (3.1) can be written as

$$(C_m \Delta_B^m \delta + C_{m-1} \Delta_B^{m-1} \delta + \dots + C_1 \Delta_B \delta + C_0 \delta) * u(x) = f(x).$$

Convolving both sides by  $R_{2m}^e(x)$  defined by (2.2), we obtain

$$\left( C_m \Delta_B^m R_{2m}^e(x) + C_{m-1} \Delta_B^{m-1} R_{2m}^e(x) + \dots + C_1 \Delta_B R_{2m}^e(x) + C_0 R_{2m}^e(x) \right) * u(x) = f(x) * R_{2m}^e(x).$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4(b), we obtain

$$\left( (-1)^m C_m \delta + (-1)^{m-1} C_{m-1} R_2^e(x) + \dots + (-1) C_1 R_{2(m-1)}^e(x) + C_0 R_{2m}^e(x) \right) * u(x) = f(x) * R_{2m}^e(x). \tag{3.4}$$

By Lemma 2.4(a), we obtain  $R_4^e(x) = \frac{V^{\frac{4-n-2/v}{2}}}{w_n(4)} = R_2^e(x) \cdot \frac{V}{8(n+2/v-4)}.$

Similarly,

$$R_6^e(x) = R_2^e(x) \cdot \frac{V^2}{8 \cdot 16(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6)}$$

$$R_8^e(x) = R_2^e(x) \cdot \frac{V^3}{8 \cdot 16 \cdot 24(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6)(n+2/v-8)}$$

∴

$$R_{2m}^e(x) = R_2^e(x) \cdot \frac{V^{m-1}}{8 \cdot 16 \cdot 24 \dots 8(m-1)(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6) \dots (n+2/v-2m)}.$$

Thus we obtain the function  $w(x)$  of (3.3). Now  $w(x)$  is continuous and infinitely differentiable in classical sense for  $n$  is odd. Since  $R_2^e(x)$  is a tempered distribution with compact support, hence  $w(x)R_2^e(x)$  also is tempered distribution with compact support

and so  $(-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x)R_2^e(x)$ . By Lemma 2.3(d),  $(-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x)R_2^e(x)$  has an inverse denote by

$$\left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x)R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}.$$

Now (3.4) can be written as

$$\left( (-1)^m C_m R_0(x) + w(x)R_2(x) \right) * u(x) = f(x) * R_{2m}(x), \quad R_0 = \delta.$$

Convolving both sides by

$$\left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1},$$

we have

$$u(x) = f(x) * R_{2m}^e(x) * \left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}$$

is weak solution of (3.1) with odd-dimensional  $n$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Given the compound Laplace – Bessel equation*

$$\sum_{r=0}^m C_r \Delta_B^r u(x) = \delta(x)$$

(3.5)

where  $\Delta_B^r$  is the Laplace – Bessel operator iterated  $r$ -times defined by (1.1),  $\delta(x)$  is

a given Dirac delta distribution,  $u(x)$  is an unknown function,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$  and  $C_r$  is a constant. Then (3.5)

has a solution

$$u(x) = R_{2m}^e(x) * \left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}$$

(3.6)

where

$$w(x) = (-1)^{m-1} C_{m-1} + (-1)^{m-2} C_{m-2} \frac{V}{8(n+2/v-4)} + (-1)^{m-3} C_{m-3} \frac{V^2}{8 \cdot 16(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6)} + \dots + C_0 \frac{V^{m-1}}{8 \cdot 16 \cdot 24 \dots 8(m-1)(n+2/v-4)(n+2/v-6) \dots (n+2/v-2m)} \tag{3.7}$$

and  $V$  defined by (2.1) and  $\left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}$  is an inverse of

$$(-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x).$$

*Proof.* If  $f(x) = \delta(x)$ , then we have

$$u(x) = R_{2m}^e(x) * \left( (-1)^m C_m R_0^e(x) + w(x) R_2^e(x) \right)^{* -1}$$

yielding the result, where  $\delta(x)$  is Dirac delta distribution and  $f(x)$  is generalized function.





#### IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the referee for his suggestions which enhanced the presentation of the paper. The author was supported by Sakon Nakhon Rajabhat University.

#### V. REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution and Transform Analysis*, McGraw – Hill, New York, 1965.
- [2] A. Kananthai, and K. Nonlaopon, “On the weak solution of the compound ultra – hyperbolic equation,” in *CMU J.*, VOL. 1, 2002, pp. 209 - 214.
- [3] H. Yildirim, M.Z. Sarikaya and S. Ozturk, “The solutions of the  $n$ -dimensional Bessel diamond operator and the Fourier-Bessel transform of their convolution,” in *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.(Math.Sci.)*, VOL. 114, 2004, pp. 375-387.
- [4] M.A. Tellez, and S. E. Trione, “The distributional convolution products of Marcel Riesz’s ultrahyperbolic kernel,” in *Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina*, VOL. 39, 1995.
- [5] M. Z. Sarikaya and H. Yildirim, “On the weak solution of the compound Bessel ultra – hyperbolic equation,” in *Appl. Math. Comput.*, VOL. 189, 2007, pp. 910-917.
- [6] S. Bupasiri, and K. Nonlaopon, “On the weak solution of compound equations related to the ultra-hyperbolic operators,” in *FJAM.*, VOL. 35, 2009, pp. 129-139.
- [7] W. F. Donoghue, *Distribution and Fourier Transform*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

#### CITE AN ARTICLE

Bupasiri, S. (2018). ON THE WEAK SOLUTION OF COMPOUND LAPLACE BESSEL EQUATION. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY*, 7(5), 334-342.